

RESPONSE OF COWPEA (*VIGNA UNGUICULATA*) GENOTYPES TO DIFFERENT LEVELS OF PHOSPHORUS DURING SUMMER UNDER NORTHERN DRY ZONE OF KARNATAKA

SHILPA, H. D¹, WALI, S. Y², HANAMANT M. HALLI³, AMRUTHA, T. G⁴.

^{1&3}Ph. D. Scholars and ²Professor, Department of Agronomy
University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India-580005

³hmhalli4700@gmail.com

Abstract— A field experiment was conducted during summer 2012, entitled with “Performance of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) genotypes with different levels of phosphorus” under irrigated condition at the farmer’s field (Mattihal Village of Basavan Bagewadi Taluk) in vertisols of Bijapur district. The experiment consists of twelve treatments including four cowpea genotypes (KBC-2, KM-5, IT-38956-1 and C-152) and three phosphorus levels (25, 50 and 75 P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹) in different combinations. Experiment laid out in factorial RCBD design with three replications. The results indicated that seed yield (1397 kg ha⁻¹) and harvest index (0.51) higher with genotype IT-38956-1 owing to higher number of pods per plant (16.78), number of seeds per pod (13.89), seed yield per plant (12.74g) and 100 seed weight (12.92 g) as compared to other genotypes. Application of 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ recorded significantly higher seed yield (1087 kg ha⁻¹) compared to 25 and 75 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, this might be due to higher yield contributing characters.

Index Terms— Cowpea, Genotypes, Phosphorus.

I. INTRODUCTION

The important pulses grown in India are redgram, greengram, blackgram, cowpea, mothbean, horsegram, peas etc. Among arid legumes, cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp] is of immense importance, as it is a multipurpose grain legume extensively cultivated in arid and semiarid tropics. The cowpea is used as grain, green pods and fodder. Cowpea is grown as a catch crop, mulch crop, intercrop, mixed crop and green manure crop. Cowpea contributes to the improvement of soil fertility by the atmospheric nitrogen fixation in the soil (60-70 kg N ha⁻¹ to the subsequent crop) in association with symbiotic bacteria under favorable conditions.

In Karnataka, the crop is grown in an area of 1.5 million hectares with a production of 0.49 million tonnes. The productivity of cowpea in Karnataka is low (420 kg ha⁻¹) as compared to the national productivity of 567 kg ha⁻¹. This clearly indicates there is necessity to identify the reasons for low productivity in India in general and Karnataka in

particular. The studies show that high yielding varieties of crop can contribute to an extent towards the improvement of crop yield (Yadav, 1986). Phosphorus (P) is one of the most needed elements for pulse production. Phosphorus, although not required in large quantities but is critical to cowpea yield because of its multiple effects on nutrition. All growing plants require phosphorus for growth and development significantly in large quantity. Role of phosphorus is well documented that, it increases root formation, number of root nodules and in turn contribute towards yield. Many workers have also reported that phosphorus application influences the content of other nutrients in leaves and seed. The deficiency can be so acute in some soils of the Northern dry zone of Karnataka, that plant growth ceases as soon as the P stored in the seed is exhausted.

In Northern Dry zone under irrigation in summer season ground nut is one of the major crops. There is a need to identify the suitable alternative crop for groundnut during summer season in the areas where water is withdrawn early in the command areas. Hence this investigation was conducted to know the performance of new genotypes of cowpea viz; KBC-2, KM-5 and IT-3895-1 in summer condition along with phosphorus fertilization.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted to study the “Performance of cowpea genotypes with different phosphorus levels” under irrigated condition in vertisols at farmers field (Mattihal Village of Basavan Bagewadi Taluk) in Bijapur district during summer 2012. The experiment was laid out in a factorial RCBD design with three replications. The treatments comprised of 12 treatment combinations of four genotypes (KBC-2, KM-5, IT-38956-1 and C-152) and three phosphorus levels (25, 50 and 75 P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹). The soil of the experimental site was medium deep black soil. A composite soil sample was drawn from the experimental area before sowing to a depth of 30cm. The soil was analyzed for physical and chemical properties. The soil textural class of the experimental site was clayey in texture, low in organic carbon (0.49%), available

nitrogen (111 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available phosphorus (14.50 kg ha⁻¹) and medium in available potassium (255 kg ha⁻¹). At the time of sowing, entire dose of fertilizers with 25:25:25, 25:50:25 and 25:75:25 kg of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash in the form of urea, single super phosphate, and muriate of potash were applied as a basal dose as per the treatment. Five competitive plants selected were tagged at random from each plot for recording observations number of growth parameters yield parameters at 30 DAS, 60 DAS and at harvest.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Genotypes play an important role in determining the yield of a crop. The potential yield of genotypes within the genetic limit is set by the prevailing environment. Genotypes differ in their yield potential depending on many physiological processes, which are controlled by both genetic makeup of the plant and the environment. During summer availability of photoperiod is more that is reflected yield of genotypes. Among four genotypes, IT-38956-1 recorded significantly higher seed yield (1397 kg ha⁻¹) as compared to C-152 (1246 kg ha⁻¹), KBC-2 (835 kg ha⁻¹) and KM-5 (786 kg ha⁻¹). Earlier studies made by several workers also revealed the varietal differences in the seed yield of cowpea (Jadhav *et al.*, 1995; Nirmal *et al.*, 2001 and Purushotham *et al.*, 2001).

Seed yield is governed by number of factors which have direct or indirect impacts. Genotypes IT-38956-1 (12.74 g plant⁻¹) produced significantly higher seed yield per plant compared to C-152 (12.63 g plant⁻¹) KBC-2 (8.26 g plant⁻¹) and KM-5 (8.81 g plant⁻¹). Yield per plant is determined by other yield contributing components namely number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, 100-seed weight. Number of pods per plant was significantly higher in IT-38956-1 (16.78) than C-152 (16.44). This improvement was mainly due to significantly higher photosynthetic efficiency, which might have led to formation of more number of pods per plant and number of seeds per pod (Table1). Similar results were also reported by Birari *et al.* (1993) and Jadhav *et al.* (1995). The genotypes IT-38956-1 (12.92g) and C-152 (12.87g) recorded significantly higher hundred seed weight than KBC-2 (10.82 g) and KM-5 (11.63g). Thus, owing to integration of all the favorable yield components such as more number of pods per plant. The uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium at harvest was maximum with IT-38956-1 (131.36 14.26 and 52.67 kg ha⁻¹) than C-152 (134.32, 13.96 and 54.67 kg ha⁻¹). Seed yield differed significantly due to different levels of phosphorus application. Maximum yield of 1087 kg ha⁻¹ was obtained with 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ as compared to application of 75 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ (1078 kg ha⁻¹) and 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ (1033 kg ha⁻¹). These results are in conformity with the findings of Rajput (1994), Okeleye and Okelana (1997). This might be due to higher magnitude of yield components like more number of pods per plant (13.17), number of seeds per pod (13.25), pod length (15.02 cm) seed weight per plant (10.82 g) and 100-seed weight (12.22 g). This indicates the positive correlation between seed yield and number of pods per plant, P is essential for photosynthesis, pod development and grain filling in leguminous crops. P is responsible for nodulation in cowpea. Thus higher nodulation resulted in higher nitrogen fixation and eventually the number of pods per plant. Author Osimane

(1978) opined that phosphorus plays an important role in translocation of assimilates to the pods being a constituent of protoplasm, which may be responsible for increased length of pods, pod weight, number of seeds per pod and in turn seed yield. The higher number of seeds per pod could be due to increased pod length with phosphorus level (15.02cm) and it might have accommodated more number of seeds per pod (13.25). Higher numbers of seeds per pod and 100-seed weight have contributed to higher seed yield at application of 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. The nutrients uptake was not significant. However higher uptake of nutrients (N,P₂O₅ and K) was noticed with the application of 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ (123.34, 13.53 and 51.92 kg ha⁻¹) followed by application of 75 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. Higher grain yield (1423 kg ha⁻¹) of IT-38956-1 was obtained with application of 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ as compared to all the other treatment combinations, this was due to the higher nutrient availability with the use of 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹.

The maximum gross returns (42690 ₹ ha⁻¹), net returns (₹ 28493Rs ha⁻¹) and B:C ratio (3.01) was realized with genotype IT-38956-1 compared to other genotypes. The application of 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ gave the higher gross returns, net returns and B:C ratio but differences were non significant.

REFERENCES

- Birari, D. S., Birari, S.P. and Jamadagni, B. M., 1993, Stability analysis of promising genotypes of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). *Indian J. of Agric. Sci.*, **63** (2): 103-106.
- Jadhav, A. G., Shinde, S. H. and Pol, P. S., 1995, Growth and yield of cowpea varieties as influenced by seedling dates. *J. of Maharashtra Agric. Univ.*, **20**: 295-296.
- Nirmal Rajkumar., Kallou, G. and Kumar, R., 2001, Diet versatility in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) genotypes. *Indian J. of Agric. Sci.*, **71**: 598-601.
- Okeleye, K. A. and Okelana, M. A. O., 1997, Effect of phosphorus fertilization on nodulation, growth and yield of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) varieties. *Indian J. of Agric. Sci.*, **67**(1): 10-12.
- Osimane, O. A., 1978, The fertilizer (NPK) requirement of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp). *Tropical Grain Legume Bulletin*, **12**: 13-15.
- Purushotham, S., Narayanswamy, G. V., Siddaraju, R. and Girejesh, G.K., 2001, Production potential of fodder cowpea genotypes under rainfed conditions. *Karnataka, J. Agric. Sci.*, **14** (2): 446-448.
- Rajput, A.L., 1994, Response of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) to *rhizobium* inoculation, date of sowing and phosphorus. *Indian J. Agron.*, **39** (4): 584-587.
- Yadav, V. P. S., 1986, Future challenges of Agriculture in India. *Indian Agric.*, **30**: 1-20.

Table 1: Yield parameters and economics of cowpea as influenced by genotypes and phosphorus levels

| Treatments | Number of pods per plant | Number of seeds per pod | Pod length (cm) | 100 seed weight (g) | Gross income (₹ ha ⁻¹) | Net income (₹ ha ⁻¹) | B:C ratio |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Genotypes (V) | | | | | | | |
| V ₁ -KBC-2 | 9.33 | 10.78 | 14.09 | 10.82 | 25053 | 10856 | 1.76 |
| V ₂ -KM-5 | 9.44 | 11.22 | 13.27 | 11.63 | 23580 | 9383 | 1.66 |
| V ₃ -IT-38956-1 | 16.78 | 13.89 | 15.67 | 12.92 | 44586 | 27723 | 2.95 |
| V ₄ -C-152 | 16.44 | 13.78 | 15.88 | 12.87 | 38063 | 23199 | 2.63 |
| SEm± | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 734 | 734 | 0.05 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.65 | 2153 | 2153 | 0.15 |
| Phosphorus levels (P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹) | | | | | | | |
| P ₁ -25 | 12.83 | 11.92 | 14.45 | 11.63 | 31007 | 16910 | 2.20 |
| P ₂ -50 | 13.17 | 13.25 | 15.02 | 12.33 | 33865 | 18418 | 2.30 |
| P ₃ -75 | 13.00 | 12.08 | 14.71 | 12.22 | 32340 | 18043 | 2.26 |
| SEm± | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.19 | 636 | 635 | 0.04 |
| CD (P=0.05) | NS | 0.76 | NS | 0.56 | NS | NS | NS |
| Genotypes X Phosphorus levels | | | | | | | |
| V ₁ P ₁ | 8.00 | 10.00 | 14.25 | 10.85 | 24410 | 10313 | 1.73 |
| V ₁ P ₂ | 11.33 | 12.00 | 14.08 | 10.91 | 26190 | 11993 | 1.84 |
| V ₁ P ₃ | 8.67 | 10.33 | 13.92 | 10.71 | 24560 | 10263 | 1.72 |
| V ₂ P ₁ | 9.00 | 10.00 | 13.03 | 11.21 | 22700 | 8603 | 1.61 |
| V ₂ P ₂ | 11.00 | 12.00 | 13.85 | 12.06 | 24640 | 10443 | 1.74 |
| V ₂ P ₃ | 8.33 | 11.67 | 12.94 | 11.60 | 23400 | 9103 | 1.64 |
| V ₃ P ₁ | 15.00 | 13.33 | 14.52 | 11.88 | 40740 | 26643 | 2.89 |
| V ₃ P ₂ | 17.33 | 15.00 | 15.99 | 13.44 | 42690 | 28493 | 3.01 |
| V ₃ P ₃ | 17.05 | 13.33 | 16.51 | 13.43 | 42330 | 28033 | 2.96 |
| V ₄ P ₁ | 17.00 | 14.33 | 16.01 | 12.56 | 36180 | 22083 | 2.57 |
| V ₄ P ₂ | 15.33 | 14.00 | 16.17 | 12.90 | 36940 | 22743 | 2.60 |
| V ₄ P ₃ | 17.00 | 13.00 | 15.47 | 13.14 | 39070 | 24773 | 2.73 |
| SEm± | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.53 | 0.39 | 1271 | 1271 | 0.09 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 1.54 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |

Table 2: Seed yield per plant and seed yield (kg ha⁻¹) of cowpea as influenced by genotypes and phosphorus levels

| Genotypes | Seed yield per plant (g) | Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹) |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| V ₁ -KBC-2 | 8.26 | 835 |
| V ₂ -KM-5 | 8.81 | 786 |
| V ₃ -IT-38956-1 | 12.74 | 1397 |
| V ₄ -C-152 | 12.63 | 1246 |
| SEm± | 0.09 | 24 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 0.26 | 71 |
| Phosphorus levels (P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹) | | |
| P ₁ -25 | 10.47 | 1033 |
| P ₂ -50 | 10.82 | 1087 |
| P ₃ -75 | 10.54 | 1078 |
| SEm± | 0.07 | 21 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 0.23 | 62 |

Table 3: Interaction effects of grain yield per plant of cowpea as influenced by genotypes and phosphorus levels

| Genotypes | Grain yield per plant (g) | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|
| | P ₁ -25 | P ₂ -50 | P ₃ -75 | Mean |
| V ₁ -KBC-2 | 8.36 | 8.37 | 8.05 | 8.26 |
| V ₂ -KM-5 | 8.39 | 8.86 | 9.16 | 8.803 |
| V ₃ -IT-38956-1 | 12.29 | 13.05 | 12.88 | 12.74 |
| V ₄ -C-152 | 12.84 | 13 | 12.04 | 12.66 |
| Mean | 10.47 | 10.82 | 10.53 | 10.60 |
| | SEm± | | CD (P=0.05) | |
| V | 0.09 | | 0.07 | |
| P | 0.26 | | 0.23 | |
| VXP | 0.16 | | 0.46 | |

Table 4: Interaction effects of seed yield (kg ha⁻¹) of cowpea as influenced by genotypes and phosphorus levels

| Genotypes | Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | P ₁ -25 | P ₂ -50 | P ₃ -75 | Mean |
| V ₁ -KBC-2 | 813 | 873 | 818 | 834.6667 |
| V ₂ -KM-5 | 756 | 821 | 780 | 785.6667 |
| V ₃ -IT-38956-1 | 1358 | 1423 | 1411 | 1397.333 |
| V ₄ -C-152 | 1206 | 1231 | 1302 | 1246.333 |
| Mean | 1033.25 | 1087 | 1077.75 | 1066 |
| | SEm± | | CD (P=0.05) | |
| V | 24 | | 71 | |
| P | 21 | | 62 | |
| VXP | 42 | | 128 | |

Table 5: Uptake of total N, P and K in soil after harvest of cowpea as influenced by genotypes and phosphorus levels

| Treatments | Major nutrients uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|------------------|
| | N | P ₂ O ₅ | K ₂ O |
| Genotypes(V) | | | |
| V ₁ -KBC-2 | 114.06 | 12.87 | 50.00 |
| V ₂ -KM-5 | 115.18 | 12.53 | 48.44 |
| V ₃ -IT-38956-1 | 131.36 | 14.26 | 52.67 |
| V ₄ -C-152 | 134.32 | 13.96 | 54.67 |
| SEm± | 1.75 | 0.16 | 0.86 |
| CD (P=0.05) | NS | 0.47 | 2.53 |
| Phosphorus levels(P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹) | | | |
| P ₁ -25 | 122.43 | 13.31 | 51.00 |
| P ₂ -50 | 123.34 | 13.53 | 51.92 |
| P ₃ -75 | 125.42 | 13.40 | 51.33 |
| SEm± | 1.51 | 0.14 | 0.75 |
| CD (P=0.05) | NS | NS | NS |
| Genotypes X Phosphorus levels | | | |
| V ₁ P ₁ | 111.17 | 12.18 | 48.67 |
| V ₁ P ₂ | 116.95 | 13.18 | 50.33 |
| V ₁ P ₃ | 114.06 | 13.26 | 51.00 |
| V ₂ P ₁ | 116.95 | 12.62 | 50.00 |
| V ₂ P ₂ | 112.28 | 12.52 | 45.33 |
| V ₂ P ₃ | 116.32 | 12.47 | 50.00 |
| V ₃ P ₁ | 133.32 | 14.32 | 53.33 |
| V ₃ P ₂ | 126.75 | 14.42 | 56.00 |
| V ₃ P ₃ | 134.02 | 14.05 | 53.67 |
| V ₄ P ₁ | 128.28 | 14.10 | 52.00 |
| V ₄ P ₂ | 137.39 | 13.99 | 56.00 |
| V ₄ P ₃ | 137.28 | 13.80 | 50.67 |
| SEm± | 3.04 | 0.28 | 1.50 |
| CD (P=0.05) | NS | NS | 4.40 |

V= variety, P= phosphorus levels