

ANVIL DESIGN & EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION FOR ULTRASONIC WELDING OF THIN DISSIMILAR METALS

Navneet Dubey¹, Vinay Kumar Singh²,

Department of Production Engineering

¹M.Tech (Production Engineering) Scholar, S.R. Institute of Management & Technology, Lucknow

²Assistant Professor, S.R. Institute of Management & Technology, Lucknow

Abstract— Ultrasonic Welding (USW) is a solid-state bonding process that produces joints by allowing transfer of high frequency vibratory energy in to the work pieces which are brought together under pressure. The whole process is done without melting of any of the material. It can be used as a micro-welding technique which is being widely used for vehicles, shipbuilding, and the welding of electric and electronic parts. Ultrasonic tooling is one which greatly affects the performance of whole welding system. Anvil is an important part which includes in ultrasonic tooling. Design of anvil is peculiarly based on the geometry. Very few studies are done on the effect of welding process on the geometrical changes of the anvil. In this work, ultrasonic anvil was designed in two different geometrical shapes with same material SS 304, which was then fabricated by series of operations and investigated the effects of Tensile strength, T-peel strength and weld quality. The experimental design was done in Taguchi method using L9 orthogonal array and MOORA method was used to convert the multi objective optimization problem to single one. Then, Taguchi method was further used to optimize the response parameters.

Index Terms— Ultrasonic Welding, Anvil, Taguchi, MOORA.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. History

The USW process came into existence in the early 1950s [1]. In 1950 Willrich conducted a welding experiment to obtain a cold weld by the application of low frequency mechanical vibrations. But at that time resistance welding was used. In 1953 ultrasonic energy was successfully applied to cold weld a no of specimens [2, 3]. Now, at this time we got a clearer picture of the process. But, the USW technique was only proposed in the 1960s. The patent for the ultrasonic method for welding rigid thermoplastic parts was awarded to Robert Soloff and Seymour Linsley in 1965. Soloff was the founder of Sonics & Materials Inc. In 1969 a car which was entirely made out of plastic was assembled. Since then USW technique has been used for variety of applications in different industries starting from computer & electrical and ending up to packaging [4, 5].

B. USW Principle

Welding is defined as a coalescence of metals or non-metals applied locally produced by either heating of the materials to a limited temperature with or without the application of pressure, or by the application of pressure alone, with or without the use of filler metal [6]. The process of ultrasonic metal welding is one in which vibrations of high frequencies (20- 40kHz) create a friction-like relative motion between two surfaces that are held together under pressure. The motion deforms, shears, and flattens local surface asperities, dispersing interface oxides and contaminants, to bring metal-to-metal contact and bonding between the surfaces. The input amplitude is very less in this process in the range of (1 – 25 μ m). Here oscillating shear forces are applied at the metal interface where they are held together under limited clamping force. The resulting internal stresses cause elastoplastic deformation at the interface. Fig. 1.1 illustrates the process.

A temperature rise is generated locally because of this welding phenomena from combined effects of elastic hysteresis, interfacial slip, and plastic deformation. If the force, power, and time are set correctly the welding process will be completed without having fully completed metal at the interface.




Fig. 1.1 USW Principle

Interface temperature rise is greater for metals with low thermal conductivity, such as steel, than for metals of high conductivity, such as aluminum or copper. Ultrasonic welding of such high conductivity materials requires substantially less energy than resistance welding.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Jahn.R et al. [32] investigated the spot welds formed by ultrasonic welding by means of a single-transducer unidirectional wedge-reed welder. They have done experiments by changing the anvil cap geometry and the microstructures and weld strength were also studied. It is found that anvil cap size and knurl pattern were insensitive to welding strength, but changes in the wake surface was found. Weld failure in lap shear tensile tests occurred due to interface fracture for low-energy welds and by button formation for high-energy welds.

Watanabe et al. [33] ultrasonically welded A6061 Al alloy sheet using two different types of welding tips and also with different geometries and investigated their effects. A cylindrical contact faced tip without knurl (C-tip) and a flat contact tip with knurl (K-tip) was used for study. It is reported that C-tip has higher weld strength than K-tip and fluctuation in C-tip was smaller. The unbounded regions remained at the weld interface due to concavity on the weld tip face.

Nishihara et al. [34] investigated the effect of horn tip geometry on the mechanical properties of a ultrasonically welded joint between a mild steel and an aluminium alloy sheet. Two types of tips were used. Cylindrical contact face tip with knurl (C-tip) and flat contact face without knurl are used (K-tip). It is found out that C-tip exhibits twice larger welding strength than K-tip under optimal welding condition. It is also reported that strength of joint increased with increasing welding time and clamping force.

Shao et al. [35] presents some preliminary results in characterizing, understanding and monitoring tool wear in micro ultrasonic metal welding. 4 different anvils are used as part of the study to describe the tool wear at different stages. A relationship between tool condition and online monitoring signals was established using statistical models and a Bayesian framework model was also proposed.

Brauers & Zavadskas [36] proposed a new for multi objective optimization with discrete alternatives: MOORA (Multi-Objective Optimization on the basis of Ratio Analysis). This method was referred to a matrix of responses of alternatives to objectives, to which ratios are applied. Authors used another method for comparison, namely the reference point method. It was also demonstrated that MOORA is the best choice among the different methods available. The denominator was calculated by taking square root of sum of squared responses. The ratios are added in case of maximisation and subtracted in case of minimisation. Then, all values are ranked as per the ratios computed. Weightage can also be added as required.

Chakraborty S [37] investigated the applications of MOORA method for decision making in manufacturing environment. Six decision-making problems which include

selection of (a) industrial robot (b) FMS (c) CNC (d) the most suitable non-traditional machining process (e) rapid prototyping process (f) automated inspection systems are explained in the paper. It is also reported that results obtained matches with those derived by past researchers.

Bakavos D & Prangnell P B [38] investigated the usage of X-ray tomography, high resolution SEM, EBSD, and dissimilar alloy welds to track the interface position and characterise the stages of weld formation and microstructure evolution. It is revealed that optimum conditions produce high quality welds, showing few defects. The origin of the weld interface 'flow features' characteristic of HP-USW are discussed.

III. EQUIPMENTS, MATERIALS & ANVIL DESIGN

A. Equipments Used

Under this segment the different equipment's that were used as a part of this work were discussed. But, only important ones are explained.

1) Equipments used for Tool Development

Fabrication of anvil is necessary to conduct experiments for investigating the effects on anvil on USW process. So the machines used for developing or making anvil were explained.

a) CNC Machine

CNC stands for Computer Numerical Control. Milling is a specific form of CNC machining. Milling also require a rotating cylindrical cutting tool like drilling. The difference from drilling is that the cutter can move along multiple axes and also can create variety of shapes holes etc. Also workpiece can also be moved to achieve the desired shape. This type of machines can be operated in 3 axes. CNC milling centers are ideal solutions to everything ranging from prototyping and short-run production of complex parts to the fabrication of unique precision components. Almost all type of materials can be cut using this virtually, but most work done is in metal only.

A CNC milling machine of following specifications was used to make the anvil to the required dimensions by performing operations milling and drilling. Here codes were written manually and it was fed in to the system by typing on to the keyboard attached to the CNC machine.

Specifications are:

X axis travel (longitudinal)	300 mm
Y axis travel (cross)	250 mm
Z axis travel (vertical)	250 mm
Repeatability	± 0.005 mm
Positional accuracy	0.010 mm
Motor power	0.37 kW

b) Wire EDM

It's a type of EDM machine in which a wire is used to cut or machine the workpieces. All electrically conductive elements can be machined using this machine such as tool steel, graphite, copper, Inconel, titanium, carbide, diamond compacts, conductive ceramics etc. The wire does not touch the workpiece, so there is no physical pressure imparted on the workpiece compared to grinding wheels and milling cutters. Wire EDM can be applied to many fields like, parts where burrs can't be tolerated, thin or delicate parts that are susceptible to tool pressure, progressive, blanking and trim dies, extrusion dies, precious metals, narrow slots and keyways, mold components etc.

The specifications of the machine are:

Make	Electronica, EIPULS 15
Model	Ecocut
X × Y	250 × 230 mm
Max Workpiece height	200 mm
Max table size	370 × 600 mm
Max cutting speed	70mm/min
Taper	±8° over 50 mm
Best surface finish	1.2 μ Ra

Wire is of diameter 0.25 mm. This wire was allowed to cut the top surface of the anvil or anvil cap to achieve the required shape. Only 0.6mm depth was given and it's not a through cut.

2) Equipment for Welding

This machine can be operated in two modes: weld time mode and weld energy mode. Weld time mode was used in this work. By changing the weld time, power or energy cannot be controlled. Many parameters can be set in this particular type of machine. In this amplitude, weld time and pressure was taken as the critical parameters. The welding tip used here was a non-detachable type. But, tip can be altered based on users need. That is 6 different geometrical tips are available to use. Maximum amplitude which can be obtained considering full output is 68 μm. The desired pressure is delivered to the system by using an air compressor.




Fig. 3.1 Telsonic M4000 Ultrasonic Metal Welder

The welding equipment has the following specs:

Manufacturer	Telsonic
Sound transformer / converter:	Piezoelectric
Operating frequency:	20 kHz
Vibration direction:	Longitudinal / linear
Maximum power output (generator):	3.0 kW eff.
Booster (mech. amplitude transformer):	Material: titanium
Translated welding amplitude:	20μm < A < 40 μm
Welding force generation:	Pneumatic

3) Equipment for Mechanical Testing

Mechanical testing machine Instron 1195 was used to measure the Tensile and T-peel strengths of welded specimens. For that, specimens were loaded on to the machine between the holders and some preliminary settings were done such as:

- For Tensile test: 2mm/min crosshead speed, 0.3mm thickness, gauge length=100mm
- For T-peel test: 5mm/min crosshead speed, 0.3mm thickness, gauge length=10 mm



Fig. 3.2 Instron 1195 Universal Testing Machine

After that the tensile test starts. At the time of breakage of specimen machine automatically stops. The readings were recorded in the machine. This process was repeated for rest of the specimens also. Same procedure was followed for T-peel test also.

The specifications of the machine are:

Load Cells:	5 N - 100 KN
Crosshead Speed Range:	0.5 - 500 mm/min
Return Speed:	500 mm/min
Crosshead Speed Accuracy:	±0.1% of Set Speed
Space between Columns:	560 mm
Testing Type:	Tension and Compression
Drive Unit:	Lead Screws

Table 3.1 Aluminum 1100-H16 chemical composition

Component Elements Symbol	Composition (%)
Al	≥ 99
Be	≤ 0.008
Cu	0.05-0.20
Mn	≤ 0.05
Other, each	≤ 0.05
Other, total	≤ 0.15
Si + Fe	≤ 0.95
Zn	≤ 0.10

B. Materials

1) Workpiece Materials

Since our work is concentrated on welding of dissimilar materials, Cu and Al of 0.3 mm were selected as work piece materials. They were chosen because with their combination appreciable welding strengths were obtained. Several trial experiments were done to decide the thickness of them and 0.3 mm was finalised by investigating the quality of weld and weld strengths. Aluminum 1100-H16 & Oxygen-free Electronic Copper (OFE), UNS C10100, OS025 were used for the experiments. This UNS C10100 OS025 Cu contains around 99.99

% Cu only. Hence it almost pure Copper. Chemical composition data for Aluminum 1100- H16 is shown in the following tables 3.1..

2) Tool Materials

Ultrasonic tooling essentially consists of sonotrode and anvil. Anvil material selection is something which is of utmost importance. Commonly used anvil material is tool steels. In that D2 steel is one good choice. D2 steel anvil is the one which came along with the existing spot welding equipment. So, anvil-1 was decided as D2 steel. Bohler-Uddeholm AISI D2 Cold Work Tool Steel was used for this work. The properties and chemical composition table of this grade D2 steel are given in table 3.2 & 3.3 respectively.

For other anvils D2 steel as tool material is not feasible because making D2 steel is a lengthy process and D2 steel is also not readily available in the market. So the next best alternative is Stainless steel. Stainless steel pieces are not only readily available in the market but it also can be machined without the use any special tools. Hence SS 304 was selected. Mild steel was also considered as a choice, but because of hardness considerations it was rejected. One added advantage was that the properties of SS 304 was ideal for anvil making because of its wear resistance, corrosion

resistance and good hardness. The properties and chemical composition tables for SS 304 are shown in table 3.2 and 3.3.

Table 3.2 D2 Steel & SS 304 Properties

Property	D2 steel	SS 304
Young's modulus (GPa)	210	195
Poisson's ratio	0.30	0.29
Density (g/cc)	7.67	8.00
Specific heat capacity (J/g-°C)	0.460	0.500

Table 3.3 D2 Steel & SS 304 chemical compositions

Component Elements Symbol	Composition (%)	
	D2 steel	SS 304
C	1.55	≤ 0.080
Cr	11.8	18-20
Fe	-	66.345-74
Mn	0.40	≤ 2.0
Ni	-	8.0-10.5
P	-	≤ 0.045
Si	0.30	≤ 1.0
S	-	≤ 0.030
Mo	0.80	-
V	0.80	-

C. Anvil Design

1) Significance of Anvil Design

The quality of welding will be good only if:

- The power requirement is fulfilled
- The compressor delivers proper air so that pressure fluctuations will not happen
- The design of transducer-booster-horn combination is correct
- The design of ultrasonic tooling is accurate

So, from this it is clear that ultrasonic tooling plays a key role in deciding the quality and strength of welding. By ultrasonic tooling it is referred to sonotrode tip and anvil. Anvil is one of the important member of ultrasonic tooling. Anvil has the following functions:

- To provide proper friction to the weld coupons
- To avoid slippage of the weld coupons during the welding process.

These functions can only be fulfilled if the anvil cap is designed in such a way that geometry itself can provide these above mentioned functions. Only few literatures are available in this topic. But, none of them discussed about the effect of anvil cap geometry in detail by changing the weld times.

2) Design Specifications

Here, the dimensions of the Anvil was decided according to the suitability of ultrasonic spot welder. As shown in the following figure 1, the diameter was set as 32 mm, depth of drill as 12 mm from bottom surface and dia of hole to be drilled as 6.9 mm. Also a 14.8 mm dia step was created for 2 mm depth as shown the figure. The total length of anvil is 25.6 mm.






Fig. 3.3 Anvil Design

3) Fabrication of Anvil

Various operations performed for fabrication of anvil are:

• Cutting

A long rod of SS 304 was cut into two pieces with slightly more than the required dimensions (i.e. 32 mm) so as

to give the sufficient tolerances. Cutting was done by using a power saw. Since normal cutting blade can't be used to cut SS 304, a bi-metal blade was used to accomplish the task. Adequate amount of coolant was given at the time of cutting, otherwise there was a chance of blade breakage.

- **Turning & Facing**

Carbide tipped tool was used to machine the SS 304 workpiece as the hardness of HSS tools are matching with that of SS 304. Since SS 304 made materials were very sharp edged it is recommended to wear goggles at the time of machining. First of all workpiece was gripped on a 3 jaw chuck. Then centering was done by making the tool at the centre of the workpiece by adjusting the tool post. After that machining starts. Turning was done on a Lathe in which water was used as coolant. Water had to be given in an excess amount since more heat generation was there while machining. Then, anvils were turned to required dia of 32 mm. Now, the tool post was rotated to a definite amount & facing operation was conducted to reduce the anvil length to 25.6 mm.

- **CNC Machining**

The SS 304 rod which has the length of 25.6 mm and dia 32 mm were now needed to be machined to make the step of 14.8 mm and the drill of 6.9 mm. For that, the workpiece was set on the machine and offsetting was done to match the coordinate system to new coordinates of the workpiece.

- **Wire EDM cutting**

Then, a manually written program was inputted to the system by using a keyboard.

CNC Milling was started to machine top surface to dia 14.8 mm for 2mm depth by using a profile milling end mill cutter. For drilling, new program was entered into the machine and the cutter was also changed. Drilling of 6.9 mm dia hole was done by means of a 7mm HSS end mill cutter to a depth of 12mm. Sufficient amount of coolant was given while performing both operations.

- **Internal Threading**

Internal threading of SS 304 anvil was performed with the help of 8 mm HSS taper. Firstly, the tap was fixed on a tap holder. Then, anvil was fixed on a bench vice tightly and tap was inserted to the 7 mm drilled hole. Three different taps are available for successful threading. These taps were to be used one after the other so that thread was tight after the action of each tap. Each taps were rotated CW and CCW alternately to finish the process. Finally, the whole process was repeated for the second anvil also.

Table 3.4 Cutting specifications for Wire EDM

Anvil cap no.	Material	Cutting width (mm)	Non cutting (mm)	Angle (degrees)
1	D2 steel	0.55	0.65	75
2	SS 304	0.55	1	45
3	SS 304	0.55	1	75



Fig. 3.4 Wire EDM cutting (status: M/C OFF)

A Wire EDM machine was employed to cut/machine the anvil cap according to the specification setting in table 3.4. As per the above given values, a program was written which consists of G codes and space coordinates to machine the two SS 304 anvils.

Wire has the dia of 0.25 mm. First of all, the workpiece had to fix on to the machine. But, there was no holding device for that because of length considerations. So, to overcome this we have designed a holding device from a scrap piece of Mild Steel.



Fig. 3.5 Wire EDM cutting (status: M/C ON)

Now, the program written was transferred to the machine by using a USB disk. The machining process was started by executing the program. First straight cut was completed by giving depth of 0.6 mm and all other parameters as shown in the table 3.3. During second cut, only the angle changes as per the table and all other parameters remains the same. Distilled water was used as a coolant at the time of machining.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

A. Preparation of Weld Coupons

Weld coupons of thickness 0.3 mm each of Al and Cu were chosen as specimen piece. Length and breadth of coupons were decided as 80 mm × 20 mm respectively. Now, series of actions were performed to make the coupons ready for final operation (i.e. Welding).

- The Cu and Al sheets were cut as per the above said dimensions. Cutting was done by using a sheet cutter snipping tool which can cut sheets easily by hand.
- Then, the cut sheets were straightened by using a hammer. Only required portions which had a bend or bulging were hammered. Excess hammering was also not desired for the reason that it deforms the material too much.

- The weld coupons were now cleaned to remove from surface impurities or contaminants. From the literatures it was found that, ethanol solution can be used as the cleaning agent and it can improve the welding strength up to 50%.
- Finally, marking was done on weld coupons so that proper positioning of weld coupons can be accomplished on the anvil cap. A cross mark is done on Al coupons since it is placed on the top during welding process.

B. Experimental Design

- Design of Experiment

To study the effect of anvil geometry on welding process experiments have to be conducted with a particular design. This design must be feasible also. Design of Experiments (DOE) is a statistical tool for achieving this. By using DOE we can study the effects of multiple variables on the performance of welding process. This method requires only limited experimental runs for good precision or accuracy. Also, if there is interaction effects coming into picture those effects can be studied by this particular process.

In this work two performance characteristics are considered: Tensile strength and T-peel strength and process parameters as amplitude, weld pressure and weld time. So, 3

factors are set and it is decided to vary these in 3 levels. So, experimental domain table will be:

Table 4.1 Domain of experiment

Factors	Notation	Unit	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Amplitude	A	μm	54	60	68
Weld Pressure	WP	bar	1.4	2.4	3.2
Weld Time	WT	sec	0.22	0.24	0.26

- Taguchi's Orthogonal Array (OA)

Orthogonal Arrays are actually meant for improving process as well as product quality and the experimental runs required is also less. Thus, much amount of time and resources can be saved. It also gives an idea about the dependency of process variables on mean and variance of

response characteristics. The method can optimize performance characteristics through determination of best parameter settings and reduces the sensitivity of the system performance to sources of variation.

Since 3 factor 3 level was decided suitable orthogonal array will be L9 and the table is shown below.

Table 4.2 Taguchi's L9 orthogonal array

Run No.	A	WP	WT
1	1	1	1
2	1	2	2
3	1	3	3
4	2	1	2
5	2	2	3
6	2	3	1
7	3	1	3
8	3	2	1
9	3	3	2

C. Taguchi Method

Taguchi method was developed by a Japanese engineer and statistician named Genichi Taguchi. Taguchi method mainly emphasise on increasing quality and reducing wastage. Many variety of engineering managing problems which we see today can be solved by using this. It actually does this by optimizing response values by adjusting the alternatives and also decreasing the wastage or loss. Any modern manufacturing firm will implement Taguchi if they

want off-line quality control and continuous rise in quality standards. Taguchi's view on quality is widely accepted one and is given below:

1. Quality should be designed into the product and not inspected into it.
2. Quality is best achieved by minimizing the deviation from the target. It is immune to uncontrollable environmental factors.

3. The cost of quality should be measured as a function of deviation from the standard and the losses should be measured system-wide.

Taguchi suggested a 3 stage design methodology for the parametric evaluation of a definite process: System design, parameter design and tolerance design. Since we have to find out the best parameter settings, parameter design is adopted in this work.

Taguchi formulates a new function called Quadratic quality loss function for the efficient evaluation of performance parameters. Then a robust design can be achieved by coalescing this quality loss function with experimental design model. Fig. below illustrates the quality loss function.




Fig. 4.1 Taguchi's Quality Loss Function, (Source: Anderson & Sedatole's)

Nominal- the-Best (NB) or Target-the-Best (TB)

The closer to target value, the better is quality. Here quadratic deviation is assumed. The formula for this is:

$$S/N \text{ Ratio} = 10 \log \left(\frac{y}{S^2_y} \right) \quad (4.5)$$

2. Lower-the-Better (LB)

This criteria is used when smaller values are preferred by the response data. Formula is

$$S/N \text{ Ratio} = -10 \log \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y^2 \right) \quad (4.6)$$

3. Higher-the-Better (HB)

This is used when higher values of a particular responses are preferred.

$$S/N \text{ Ratio} = -10 \log \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{y^2} \right) \quad (4.7)$$

y = Average of observed values;
S² = Variance of y;
n = Number of observations

L = Loss associated with producing outside of tolerance limits in the traditional quality loss Function

L(x) = Loss associated with producing anything other than the nominal specification in the Taguchi Loss Function

LTL = Lower tolerance limit

UTL = Upper tolerance limit N = Nominal specification

d = Difference between nominal specification and tolerance limit

• S/N ratio for Performance Evaluation

Taguchi articulated a performance or response measure statistically called S/N ratio, to study the outcomes effectively. A signal of a particular effect can be defined as variation in quality characteristic of a product in response to a factor introduced into the experimental design. The effect of external causes of consequence of the quality characteristics is known as noise. The electrical engineering theory defined by ratio of signal power to noise power corrupting the signal has now become a global statistical concept.

The responses obtained from experimentation are then converted to S/N ratio by using certain equations. S/N ratio can also be defined as the measure of deviation from the desired value. But this can only be calculated for one variable problem. So, multi-objective optimization problem must be converted to single one. Then the highest value of S/N ratio is taken as the as the best choice, because signal is very much greater than noise factors. Signal to noise ratios are of 3 types based on the quality characteristic:

D. Proposed methodology for data analysis (MOORA combined with Taguchi)




Fig. 4.2 Proposed methodology for data analysis

V. DATA ANALYSIS AND OPTIMIZATION

A. Data Analysis

The input parameters in this experiment are amplitude, weld pressure and weld time. These are varied in 3 levels as fixed earlier. The optimal parameter setting was obtained by combining MOORA with Taguchi. The table below shows the uncoded values of each alternative corresponding to each factor level using L9 orthogonal array.

Run No.	A	WP	WT
1	54	1.4	0.22
2	54	2.4	0.24
3	54	3.2	0.26
4	60	1.4	0.24
5	60	2.4	0.26
6	60	3.2	0.22
7	68	1.4	0.26
8	68	2.4	0.22
9	68	3.2	0.24

The response values of performance parameters Tensile strength (TS) and T-peel strength (TS) are measured by using mechanical tester for each anvil and tabulated in table below:

Table 5.2 Experimental data for 3 anvils

Run No.	A (μm)	WP (bar)	WT (sec)	Anvil-1		Anvil-2		Anvil-3	
				TS (N)	TP (N)	TS (N)	TP (N)	TS (N)	TP (N)
1	54	1.4	0.22	183.37	61.87	269.6	83.63	363.8	98.12
2	54	2.4	0.24	312.61	115.83	347.4	124.69	560.7	132.69
3	54	3.2	0.26	149.51	65.3	590.9	136.63	631	147.44
4	60	1.4	0.24	308.25	86.12	387.6	89.63	415.3	112.36
5	60	2.4	0.26	264.55	93.3	540.7	136.53	580.9	139.56
6	60	3.2	0.22	349.03	103.39	570.8	153.69	640.8	173.26
7	68	1.4	0.26	231.87	66.72	427.7	99.67	432.3	122.47
8	68	2.4	0.22	461.33	133.3	560.7	148.96	600.9	169.34
9	68	3.2	0.24	345.63	96.87	646.1	183.37	670.9	202.86

• MOORA Method

Step 1: The decision matrix is obtained by allocating the row of this matrix to one alternative and each column to one response value and are given in table 5.2.

Step 2: The values of normalized ratios for each alternative for each anvil has been calculated and presented in Table 5.3.

Table 5.3 Computed Normalized Ratios for 3 anvils

Run No.	Anvil-1		Anvil-2		Anvil-3	
	TS*	TP*	TS*	TP*	TS*	TP*
1	0.202	0.219	0.181	0.211	0.219	0.222
2	0.344	0.41	0.233	0.314	0.337	0.3
3	0.164	0.231	0.396	0.344	0.38	0.333
4	0.34	0.305	0.26	0.226	0.25	0.254
5	0.291	0.33	0.362	0.344	0.35	0.315
6	0.384	0.366	0.383	0.388	0.386	0.391
7	0.255	0.237	0.287	0.251	0.26	0.277
8	0.507	0.472	0.376	0.376	0.361	0.383
9	0.38	0.343	0.433	0.462	0.404	0.458

Step 3: The MOORA coefficient (MC) for each anvil has been computed separately as illustrated in Table 5.4 so that it can be further analysed by Taguchi method.

Table 5.4 MOORA Coefficient corresponding to 3 anvils (MC)

Run No.	MOORA Coefficient (MC)		
	Anvil-1	Anvil-2	Anvil-3
1	0.421	0.392	0.441
2	0.754	0.547	0.637
3	0.395	0.741	0.713
4	0.644	0.486	0.504
5	0.621	0.707	0.665
6	0.75	0.77	0.777
7	0.491	0.538	0.537
8	0.979	0.751	0.744
9	0.723	0.895	0.862

B. Optimization by Taguchi Method

- For Anvil-1

Now, the problem becomes single objective optimization.
So, from MOORA Coefficient corresponding S/N ratio has

been computed utilizing Higher-is-Better (HB) criteria.
Further optimal setting of parameters has been determined
from main effect plot of S/N ratio.

Table 5.5 MOORA Coefficient and corresponding S/N ratio

Run No.	MC	S/N Ratio	Pred. S/N Ratio
1	0.421	-7.524	0.0149
2	0.753	-2.47	
3	0.395	-8.059	
4	0.644	-3.827	
5	0.621	-4.138	
6	0.75	-2.503	
7	0.491	-6.178	
8	0.979	-0.185	
9	0.723	-2.819	




Fig. 5.1 Main effect plot of S/N ratio for anvil-1

The optimal setting obtained from Main effect plot corresponding to the highest value of S/N ratio is given in table 5.6.

Table 5.6 Optimal setting of process parameters

Factor	A	WP	WT
Level	68 μ m	2.4 bar	0.24 sec

VI. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Impact on Anvil

Since many more no. of experiments have been conducted using anvil-1 it is difficult to explain the impact on this particular cap. However, the the projected portions are almost damaged due to continuous application of ultrasonic vibrations and projections are cut off by a definite amount also. Hence breakage points are there in the anvil. Since the experiments which we have conducted was very less, the impact does not fully account only for our experimental runs.

Good no. of experiments have been done using anvils 2 & 3. But, before that some trial experiments were also done on each for the proper selection of welding process parameters. For, Anvil-2 it is very clear that the shining portion or top layer is totally removed because of the high intensity of vibrations. The damage or shape change didn't takes place for anvil-2. The face of anvil-2 was made diamond or rhombus shaped, so that the spot welding area will be more. But, it have no greater difference as far as impact is concerned.

Anvil-3 was the one which is parallelogram shaped. Here also the topmost shining portion or top layer is totally removed from the surface after welding process. Also some damaged portions are also found as we move along the surface of anvil-3. Sticking of workpieces and anvil was not at all found at any time during welding process. Hence, anvil wear was almost same for both anvils 2 & 3.

B. Effect on Welding Strength

Welding Strength is the most important performance factor in any welding process. In this work, welding strength is taken as the combination of Tensile strength (TS) and T-peel strength (TP). SS 304 material is far better when compared to D2 Steel. Because SS 304 is having much good properties needed for anvil making like good wear resistance, good hardness and good corrosion resistance. Following is the % increase calculation for TS & TP. Only maximum values of the entire TS or TP column was considered here.

Percentage increase of TS btw anvil 1 & 2 = 40.05 %

Percentage increase of TS btw anvil 1 & 3 = 45.43 %

Percentage increase of TS btw anvil 2 & 3 = 3.8 %

Percentage increase of TP btw anvil 1 & 2 = 37.5 %

Percentage increase of TP btw anvil 1 & 3 = 52.18 %

Percentage increase of TP btw anvil 2 & 3 = 10.6 %

C. Effect on Weld Quality

• Anvil-1

On closely examining the interface geometry of the welds the weld quality can be easily recognised. On the basis of quality of weld interfaces, it can be classified into three: good weld, over weld and under (low) weld.

Over weld: Al particles are deformed more compared to Cu. Some irregular peaks are also found in the Al portion. This may be due to increased weld energy or weld times so that they got enough time to deform.

Good weld: The upper portion of Al spreads across the surface uniformly and without any gap between the interfaces of Cu. No overlapping was found in this area. Interface is almost straight with minimum waviness.

Under weld: There is small gap between the contact surfaces in this particular weld. This is called parting line. Also Al is not uniformly distributed over the Cu surface. There is much waviness seen here which may be because of the pressure gradient grooves on the anvil cap and the sonotrode tip.

D. Confirmatory Test Results

Confirmatory tests are conducted for the 3 anvils separately based on the optimal setting parameters obtained from Taguchi method. On this condition, highest weld strength must be obtained. Again, with that combination, one more experiment was carried out and the corresponding S/N ratio was also found out. The value obtained from the experiment was compared with the predicted value and percentage error was found out.

VII. CONCLUSION & FUTURE SCOPE

In this work, anvil geometry was successfully designed and effect of the same on response parameters was studied. Fabrication of anvil was done effectively with good accuracy. A multi-objective optimization problem known as MOORA has been solved by finding an optimal parametric combination in which appreciable weld strength was obtained. Taguchi Method is further implemented to find out the optimal sequence of input parameters that maximizes the output. Confirmatory tests shows error of 2 %, 2.47 % and 1.66 % respectively which shows a good agreement with the predicted results. From the weld quality studies, the difference in wake features of different anvils and the type of welds were studied and analyzed.

Changes in the anvil geometry was investigated in this work using SS 304 material. With the same geometry and with different material the study can be further extended and the ideal material for this geometry can be found out. Design of an acoustic horn also can be carried out with different tip geometry and the effects of same can be compared with previously collected results.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Ahmed, New Developments in Advanced Welding, Woodhead/publishing, Place Published, 2005.
- [2] J. L. Harthoorn, Ultrasonic Metal Welding, PhD Thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, 1978.
- [3] J. G. Kura and H. W. Mishler, "Literature Survey on the Effect of Sonic and Ultrasonic Vibrations in Controlling Grain Size During Solidification of Steel Ingots and Weldments. Part 1 - Steel Ingots," Battelle Memorial

Institution Columbus of Defence Metals information center, 1963.

[4] <http://www.weldmyworld.com/blog/2012/01/the-process-of-ultrasonic-welding.html>, Accessed on 21st Jan 2015.

[5]

<http://www.thermosole.com/products/Ultrasonic%20Welding.pdf>, Accessed on 10th Nov 2014.

[6] J. Mackerle, "Finite Element Analysis and Simulation of Welding - An Addendum: A Bibliography (1996-2001)," *Modelling and Simulation in Materials Science and Engineering*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 295-318, 2002.

[7] Graff, K., *Introduction to High Power Ultrasonics*. 1999, Edison Welding Institute: Columbus. p. 91.

[8] E. de Vries, *Mechanics and Mechanisms of Ultrasonic Metal Welding*, PhD Thesis, University of Ohio, 2004.

[9] Graff, K., *Ultrasonic metal welding*, in *New developments in advanced welding*, N. Ahmed, Editor. 2005, Woodhead: Cambridge. p. 241-269.

[10]

<http://www.thefabricator.com/article/arcwelding/understanding-ultrasonic-welding>, Accessed on 3rd October 2014.

[11] M. Bloss, *Ultrasonic Metal Welding: The Weldability of Stainless Steel, Titanium and Nickel-Based Super Alloys*, PhD Thesis, University of Ohio, 2008.

[12] G. Harman and J. Albers, "The Ultrasonic Welding Mechanism as Applied to Aluminum and Gold-Wire Bonding in Microelectronics," *IEEE Transactions on Parts, Hybrid and Packaging* vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 406-412, 1977.

[13] <http://www.ultrasonicwelding.org/horns.htm>, Accessed on 31th Apr 2015.