# STUDY OF RATE OF CORROSION BY HIGH CO2 ON PRESSURE PIPELINE MADE OF STEEL

**B.N. ROY<sup>1</sup>, GAUTAM KUMAR<sup>2</sup>** <sup>1</sup>Asst. professor, <sup>2</sup>Third Year student, Metallurgical Engg. Deptt. ; B.I.T. SINDRI, INDIA

bnroybits@gmail.com

Abstract- The paper deals with the effect of pressure, temperature and time on corrosion rate of pipeline steels in CO2 saturated water and in humid saturated CO2 gas in the range from 25 to 60°C and pressure from 20 to 145 bar, up to 400 hours of exposure. The results of weight loss tests show very high rates in the aqueous phase, one or two order of magnitude higher than the values obtained in CO2 saturated with water. Depending on temperature and pressure, cementite and iron carbonate scales can grow on metallic surface reducing corrosion rate. SEM and metallographic analysis evidenced the evolution of scale from defective cementite-based layer to protective compact carbonate scale. An experimental setup has been designed, taking various measures from different references to get an idea about corrosive effect due to flow of waste gases under higher pressure. Material grade was selected same as used for CO2 capture, transport and storage.

*Index terms*- Corrosion, Carbon steel, Scale formation, Supercritical CO2,CO2 capture, transport and storage.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Steel is the most commonly employed metallic material in open-air structures and is used to make a wide range of equipment and metallic structures due to its low cost and good mechanical strength. Much of the steel that is manufactured is exposed to outdoor conditions, often in highly polluted atmospheres where corrosion is much more severe than in clean rural environments. There have been a lot of studies on the effects of flow on CO2 corrosion of carbon steel. However, only few studies have attempted to address the flow effect in high partial pressure CO2, i.e., 10 bar and above. This is especially true for flow effects in supercritical CO2; despite the wide interest in CO2 transport in carbon capture and storage (CCS) and enhanced oil recovery (EOR), almost all studies in CO2 corrosion at supercritical CO2 were carried out in stagnant conditions. Although one study simulated the effects of velocity on supercritical CO2 corrosion, the focus of the study was more on the effects of crude oils/water mixture on super-critical CO2 corrosion at one particular velocity: 1 m/s.

Due to increasingly emission of CO2 gaseous waste, it is necessary to take preventive action regarding capturing carbon from combustion exhaust gas produced at electric power plants, its compression, transport in pipelines, and storage in geological sites. Steel used to keep this waste gas should be provided prevention against corrosion. Despite the significant ecological benefits, there are still unsolved issues, mainly related to economics and risks of accidental release into the atmosphere of large amounts of CO2. Plant reliability requires accurate material selection and a deep knowledge of material corrosion in presence of very high pressure of wet CO2. Main solutions in order to control transport costs consider carbon steel pipelines and the transport of dense phase, under supercritical conditions, for maximizing the amount of the mass flux.

Although dry carbon dioxide is not aggressive for carbon steel, the presence of water and other chemical aggressive species can accelerate corrosion. The solubility of water in CO2 is determinant in the separation of the aqueous phase, which is necessary for the corrosion to take place. The CO2 corrosion, commonly named "sweet corrosion", occurs by hydration of CO2 to carbonic acid in the aqueous phase. The phenomena have widely been studied in the Oil & Gas industry by experimental researches and data collected from plants, mainly at CO2 partial pressures up to 10 bar. Only few works on corrosion in the supercritical conditions of CCTS systems above the critical pressure of 73.9 bar and critical temperature of 31°C are reported in literature. The aim of this experimental work is the evaluation of corrosion rates of a HSLA steel under high CO2 subcritical and supercritical partial pressures.

## II. METHODOLOGY AND EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

To evaluate the effects of flow in high partial pressure CO2 environment at various pCO2, pH values and temperatures, many experiments have already be done at low pressures as high as 10 bar as simulated the study of corrosion behaviour on carbon steel. Corrosion rate was evaluated by means of weight loss tests on grade X65 steel by using two different types of specimen: 24x20x16 mm prismatic, and  $\emptyset$ 20 mm  $\times$  2 mm cylindrical(taken from reference for convenience). The surface was prepared by grinding with silicon carbide emery paper up to 1000 grit and cleaning with acetone in ultrasonic bath. Glass cylinders or PTFE gaskets insulated the specimens for preventing any galvanic coupling. The specimen holder was placed in a 6 litters autoclave filled with 3 litters of distilled water in order to achieve different exposure conditions: the specimens on the upper side were in humid CO2 phase, whereas the specimens in the lower side were soaked in water saturated by CO2. Before testing, cycles of pressurization and depressurization with nitrogen removed oxygen in the chamber before heating. Finally, the autoclave was pressurized with CO2.

The tests were performed at constant pressure and temperature, in the range from 20 to 145 bars and 25 to  $60^{\circ}$ C, with exposure time from 48 up to 400 hours. Part of tests were executed by using a mechanical stirrer rotating at 180 rpm in the aqueous phase. The weight loss was measured after pickling in 6N hydrochloric acid inhibited with 3 g/L hexamethylenetetramine. at  $60^{\circ}$ C, for 1 min, and drying. SEM analysis of metallographic cross-sections was performed to view the scale formed on the steel surface.

## **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### A. Corrosion rates

The effect of exposure time and temperature on corrosion rate in water saturated withCO2 and humid saturated CO2 gas at pressures of 123-145 bars was considered. The corrosion rate is expressed as average value of the specific weight loss over the exposure time of the specimen. It decreases over the time according an exponential law. In the water phase, for short-term exposure, the corrosion rate increases with temperature: the highest rate was during short-term tests at 60°C. The reduction over exposure time is more pronounced at 60°Cthan at 40°C and 25°C, producing average rates after300 hour exposure that become very close for all three temperatures. Therefore, at 60°C and 25°C.

In humid saturated CO2 gas, the corrosion rate is much lower than in the water phase. For long testing time, above300 hours, the corrosion rate decreases and approaches similar level, regardless of the temperature. The testing conditions at  $25^{\circ}$ C and 135 bar of CO2 induced abnormal initial high corrosion rates. In these conditions,CO2 is liquid and we suppose that during early stage of test, during entering of icy liquid, a condensed layer also wet the specimens placed in the upper side of autoclave. The subsequent heating probably removed the layer, which, however, produced more emphasized attacks. The stirring had negligible effect, indicating that liquid CO2 did not emulsify with the water phase. For comparison, the figure also shows the corrosion rates estimated at temperatures of 25, 40 and60°C, by De Waard and Milliams model.

$$v_{cor}[mm/year] = 10^{(5.8 - \frac{1710}{T[^{\circ}C] + 273})} \cdot (f_{CO_2}[bar])^{0.67}$$

(fCO2is the fugacity of CO2).

The model describes the typical conditions of oil extraction, with CO2 partial pressures that do not exceed 20 bar, and estimates the maximum corrosion rate of carbon steel in absence of any formation of corrosion product scales. There is a good correlation between the model and the experimental data only at low CO2 partial pressure (20and 30 bar) and 40°C. At 50 and 60°C, the increase of corrosion rate with CO2 partial pressure is below the values extrapolated by De Waard and Milliams and its only evident in the early period. Exceeding 90 hours exposure, the corrosion rate tends to stabilize between 5

and 20 mm/year, regardless of the pressure, in accordance with literature data. One stated that the corrosion rate in supercritical CO2 was very high, above 20 mm/year, in absence of adherent iron carbonate scale formation; on the contrary, it can reduce below 1 mm/year. In humid saturated CO2 for exposure times between 160and 190 hours at 40 and 50°C, the corrosion rate considerably decreases as CO2 partial pressure increases and it is not affected by temperature. At 60°C, data are quite scattered and do not show clear effect of CO2 pressure.The data collected in this experimentation confirm the values were reported and measured corrosion rates from 0.2 to 0.3 mm/year after 24 hours at 50°Cand CO2 partial pressure equal to 40, 60 and 80 bar. However, negligible corrosion rates of carbon steel in humid supercritical CO2 at 80°C was recorded.

#### B. Corrosion mechanism

Steel corrosion at very high CO2 partial pressure shows complex influence of temperature, pressure and time. Different phenomena act in synergistic or counteracting way. The corrosion of carbon steel in the presence of CO2 and water involves the anodic oxidation of iron to ferrous ions and the cathodic process of hydrogen evolution, according to the general reaction:

$$Fe + CO_2 + H_2O \longrightarrow FeCO_3 + H_2$$

Calculations of the composition of water saturated with CO2 gas, liquid or supercritical were done. At pressures above 20 bar and temperatures between 25and 85°C, pH values between 3.1 and 3.3 are expected due to the increase of carbonic acid concentration. The acidity promotes the cathodic process by direct reduction of hydrogen ions. Moreover, the corrosivity ofCO2 derives from the chemical reaction of hydration ofCO2 in the electrolyte to carbonic acid and the further electrochemical cathodic process connected with the direct reduction of carbonic acid.

An increase of temperature produces a reduction of CO2solubility. Thus, lower amount of carbonic acid is available for supporting the reduction process. On the other hand, it increases the rate of the chemical process of hydration. The temperature generally affects mass transport mechanisms and the processes occurring under limiting current conditions, but it should be outlined that direct reduction of carbonic acid is controlled by the rate of hydration and not by the diffusion rate of carbonic acid into water [1,7]. Moreover, the temperature modifies kinetics of electrochemical anodic and cathodic reactions.

# C. Morphology of corrosion product scale

The temperature can also influence corrosion rate because it can affects the solubility of corrosion products, favouring their precipitation and scale growth. The SEM analysis of specimens after testing showed the formation of iron carbonate and iron carbide scales having different morphology depending on temperature and time. The carbides due to the preferential dissolution of ferrite that leaves the cementite lamellae of pearlite. The characteristic morphology of this structural component can be clearly observed on both the corroded surface and metallographic section of the scale. The cementite lamellas have a dual role on corrosion process. Because of their noble nature, they acts as low hydrogen overvoltage cathode, promoting anodic attack on ferrite. As far as scale growth process is concerned, the cementite acts as a network in which the iron carbonate precipitations is favoured owing to the increase of iron ions and pH due to the anodic and cathodic reactions, respectively. Thus, in suitable conditions, iron carbonate fully seals the porosity within the network of cementite and a mixed iron carbonate and carbide protective film forms, leading to relevant reduction of the corrosion rate.

Protective behaviour of the scales formed in environment relevant for "sweet corrosion" of Oil & Gas Industry was noticed. Concluded that iron carbonator mixed iron carbonate/iron carbide scales at the metal/scale interface are necessary to reduce the corrosion rate. On the contrary, the only presence of cementite at the interface does not produces a protective scale. For this reason the protective properties of carbonate scale is poor at temperatures below80°C. However, the experimental results obtained at very high pressures of CO2 show that precipitation of iron carbonate scale can reduce significantly the corrosion rate at 40°C and 60°C.

After tests at 25°C in CO2 saturated water with stirring, the film partially covers the specimen surface. It is mainly composed by cementite and the corrosion rate, although lower than that observed at higher temperatures, only evidences a limited variation over the time. At 40°C, essentially a cementite based layer covers the specimens after short-term tests. Cubic carbonate crystals became evident within the cementite only on the specimens exposed for 331 hours but they did not completely seal the cementite. At 60°C, a continuous mixed film of cementite and iron carbonate gradually grow. After short-term exposure, SEM observations did not show uniform scales; they evidenced the simultaneous presence of areas with compact and thick layer and zones with thin and fractured film. Increasing the exposure time, the precipitation of cubic crystals of iron carbonate fully sealed the cracks and porosities of the scale. The metallographic sections confirms chiefly cementite based layer in the scale formed at 25°C and 135 barCO2 partial pressure and the presence of a mixed film of cementite and iron carbonate at higher temperatures, still porous at 40°C and becoming continuous at 60°C.Without stirring, the film at 60°C forms a cementite layer at the interface that remains critic for the scale stability. The precipitation of the carbonate takes place above the cementite network, remaining in this way less adherent to the substrate. On the contrary, the stirring of the solution leads to very high initial corrosion rates and promotes direct formation of the mixed Fe3C - FeCO3 scale without any intermediate.

The scale is protective and resists to the mechanical action of flow, confirming the observation of Crolet et al [16]. The CO2 partial pressure did not primarily affect the scale morphology, but in supercriticalCO2, probably due to the elevated concentration of CO2, less porous films grew (Figure 6).In humid saturated CO2 phase, the formation of a layer of condensed water on the metal surface control the corrosion process. Corrosion takes place in a restrict volume of condensate electrolyte which is rapidly saturated by corrosion product favouring precipitation of iron carbonate crystals. At low temperature and CO2 pressure, the scale is not adherent and it is easily detached from the metal surface. The carbonate scale becomes more compact and adherent by increasing the CO2 pressure, significantly reducing the corrosion rate. At 60°C the scale the specimens presents not corroded areas and areas covered by a compact and adherent scale consisting of iron carbonate and iron carbide. Some localized attacks filled by the corrosion products were observed.

## **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

The corrosion rates of HSLA steel under high CO2 subcritical and supercritical partial pressures were evaluated in CO2saturated water and in water saturated CO2.

The corrosion rate in water saturated with CO2 showed values between 1 and 28 mm/year. The highest corrosion rates were reported for short-term exposure; on the contrary, low values were observed in the case of long term exposure due to the precipitation of corrosion

product scales. The scale formation primarily depends upon the temperature and exposure time. At 60°C in stirred solution a compact and protective film of iron carbonate and cementite was observed. The presence of cementite at metal/scale interface promotes the formation of not adherent scale in absence of stirring at the same temperature. At lower temperature, the film is more porous and less able to reduce the dissolution of metal. The corrosion rates in humid saturated CO2 gas are significantly lower than those obtained in the water phase and they are controlled by the formation of scale. The corrosion rates were lower at 60°C, temperature at which the CO2 pressure effect was negligible.

## REFERENCES

[1] C. de Waard, U. Lotz, "Prediction of CO2 Corrosion in Carbon Steel," CORROSION/1993, paper no. 69 (Houston, TX: NACE, 1993.

[2] J. Veron, "An overview of the non-developed gas reserves in Southeast Asia," PetroMin, (3), pp. 22-28, 2007

[3] G. Lin, M. Zheng, Z. Bai and X. Zhao, "Effect of temperature and pressure on the morphology of carbon dioxide corrosion scales," Corrosion, vol. 62, (6), pp. 501-507, 2006. 17.

[5] M. Seiersten and K. O. Kongshaug,"Materials selection for capture, compression, transport and injection of CO2," in

Carbon Dioxide Capture for Storage in Deep Geologic Formations - Results from the CO2 Capture Project Capture and Separation of Carbon Dioxide from Combustion Sources, Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2005, pp. 937. [6] Z. F. Yin, Y. R. Feng, W. Z. Zhao, Z. Q. Bai and G. F. Lin, "Effect of temperature on CO2 corrosion of carbon steel," Surf. Interface Anal., vol. 41, (6), pp. 517-523, 2009.

[7] S. Papavinasam, "Electrochemical techniques for measuring corrosion rates in operating oil and gas pipelines," in NACE 2010/097X, 2010, Technical Information Exchange.